

# THE PRODUCT OF GCD AND LCM

Jules Jacobs

October 9, 2022

This is the standard identity for the product of gcd and lcm:

$$\gcd(a, b) \cdot \text{lcm}(a, b) = ab$$

One might wonder whether it holds that  $\gcd(a, b, c) \cdot \text{lcm}(a, b, c) = abc$ . Unfortunately, it does not; consider  $a = b = c = 2$ . It does however hold that

$$\gcd(a, b, c) \cdot \text{lcm}(ab, ac, bc) = abc \tag{1}$$

In fact, it also holds that

$$\gcd(ab, ac, bc) \cdot \text{lcm}(a, b, c) = abc$$

To see this, think of a number as a vector of its prime factorisation:

$$2^2 \cdot 3^1 \cdot 7^2 = (2, 1, 0, 2, 0, 0, \dots)$$

On this representation, the gcd corresponds to taking the pointwise minimum, and the lcm the pointwise maximum:

$$\begin{aligned} \gcd((a_1, a_2, \dots), (b_1, b_2, \dots), (c_1, c_2, c_3, \dots)) &= (\min(a_1, b_1, c_1), \min(a_2, b_2, c_2), \dots) \\ \text{lcm}((a_1, a_2, \dots), (b_1, b_2, \dots), (c_1, c_2, c_3, \dots)) &= (\max(a_1, b_1, c_1), \max(a_2, b_2, c_2), \dots) \end{aligned}$$

And the product corresponds to the pointwise sum:

$$(a_1, a_2, \dots) \cdot (b_1, b_2, \dots) \cdot (c_1, c_2, c_3, \dots) = (a_1 + b_1 + c_1, a_2 + b_2 + c_2, \dots)$$

Thus, in this representation, [equation \(1\)](#) translates to:

$$\min(a_i, b_i, c_i) + \max(a_i + b_i, a_i + c_i, b_i + c_i) = a_i + b_i + c_i \quad (\text{for all } i)$$

Now it is easy to see that the identity holds: fix  $i$  and assume without loss of generality that  $a_i \leq b_i \leq c_i$ , then the minimum reduces to  $a_i$  and the maximum to  $b_i + c_i$ .

We see that more generally, given  $n$  numbers instead of 3 numbers,

$$\gcd(k\text{-fold products}) \cdot \text{lcm}((n-k)\text{-fold products}) = \text{product}$$

For  $n = 4$ , this gives that the following values are all equal to  $abcd$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \gcd(\emptyset) \cdot \text{lcm}(abcd) & \quad (k = 0) \\ \gcd(a, b, c, d) \cdot \text{lcm}(bcd, acd, abd, abc) & \quad (k = 1) \\ \gcd(ab, ab, ad, bc, bd, cd) \cdot \text{lcm}(ab, ab, ad, bc, bd, cd) & \quad (k = 2) \\ \gcd(bcd, acd, abd, abc) \cdot \text{lcm}(a, b, c, d) & \quad (k = 3) \\ \gcd(abcd) \cdot \text{lcm}(\emptyset) & \quad (k = 4) \end{aligned}$$

In fact, if we allow negative powers in the prime factorization, we can see that such identities hold over the positive rationals too, with gcd and lcm suitably extended.