# Bounded clause elimination

Jules Jacobs

#### May 7, 2021

Bounded variable elimination and blocked clause elimination are two effective SAT preprocessing techniques. This note is about forms of clause elimination that generalize both [KS17].

Given a CNF formula *F* and a clause  $c \in F$  and a literal  $l \in c$ , define elim(F, c, l) to be the CNF formula *F* with clause *c* replaced by all resolvents of *c* along *l*.

The formula F consists of clause *c*, clauses that contain *l*, clauses that contain  $\neg l$ , and clauses that contain neither *l* nor  $\neg l$ :

$$F = (l \lor \vec{c}) \land (\bigwedge_i l \lor \vec{a}_i) \land (\bigwedge_j \neg l \lor \vec{b}_j) \land (\bigwedge_k \vec{d}_k)$$

Now elim(F, c, l) is:

$$\mathsf{elim}(F,c,l) = (\bigwedge_{j} \vec{c} \lor \vec{b}_{j}) \land (\bigwedge_{i} l \lor \vec{a}_{i}) \land (\bigwedge_{j} \neg l \lor \vec{b}_{j}) \land (\bigwedge_{k} \vec{d}_{k})$$

It is clear that  $F \implies \text{elim}(F, c, l)$  because we've only added resolvents, but the reverse implication does not hold because we've deleted the clause  $l \lor \vec{c}$ . Take F = l, for example; then eliminating the only clause l gives us the empty CNF, which is satisfied for any variable assignment, whereas F is only satisfied for l = 1. However, the two formulas are equisatisfiable.

**Lemma 1.** F and elim(F, c, l) are equisatisfiable.

*Proof.* Since  $F \implies \text{elim}(F, c, l)$ , it suffices to show that any assignment for elim(F, c, l) can be turned into an assignment for F. If the clause  $l \lor \vec{c}$  is satisfied by the assignment for elim(F, c, l), then we can use the same assignment to satisfy F, because the remaining clauses in F are also in elim(F, c, l). So suppose l = 0 and  $\vec{c} = 0$  in the assignment that satisfies elim(F, c, l). Then elim(F, c, l) simplifies to:

$$\mathsf{elim}(F,c,l) = (\bigwedge_j \vec{b}_j) \land (\bigwedge_i \vec{a}_i) \land (\bigwedge_k \vec{d}_k)$$

Given this assignment for all variables except l, the formula F simplifies to:

$$F = (l \lor \vec{c})$$

Hence the same assignment but with l = 1 instead of l = 0 satisfies *F*.

The proof of this lemma gives us a method to reconstruct solutions for F from solutions for elim(F, c, l): if the clause we eliminated is already satisfied, do nothing, and otherwise flip the value of l.

We can do *bounded clause elimination* by heuristically picking clauses to eliminate. We can simulate both blocked clause elimination and bounded variable elimination using elim:

- Blocked clause elimination deletes a clause *c* if there is a literal *l* ∈ *c* such that all resolvents of *c* along *l* are tautologies. This is equivalent to *replacing c* by the resolvents.
- Bounded variable elimination chooses a literal *l* are replaces all clauses involving *l* by all their resolvents. This is the same as running clause elimination multiple times, once for each clause that contains *l*.

### **Clause deletion**

A slightly different perspective is clause deletion: when is it safe to delete a clause? Deleting a clause may increase the number of satisfying assignments, but that is fine as long as (a) it doesn't turn an UNSAT problem into a SAT problem and (b) we have a method to reconstruct a satisfying assignment for the original problem from a satisfying assignment for the new problem.

The argument above shows that it is safe to delete a clause c when all its resolvents along l are implied by the remaining clauses. The solution reconstruction method is the same: if c is not satisfied, flip l.

We can still simulate bounded variable elimination: first add all resolvents, and now we can delete the original clauses because all their resolvents are (trivially) implied.

#### Implementation in a solver

- Keep track of a stack of deleted clauses, and which literal *l* was used to delete it.
- We can delete a clause at any time if its resolvents along some *l* are implied by permanent clauses.
- Whenever the user adds a new clause containing ¬*l*, restore all clauses that were deleted using *l*.
  (Adding the assumption *l* = 0 can be treated as adding the unit clause ¬*l*.)
- To reconstruct the original solution, pop all deleted clauses from the stack, flipping *l* if necessary to make the clause satisfied.

## References

[KS17] Benjamin Kiesl and Martin Suda. A unifying principle for clause elimination in first-order logic. In Leonardo de Moura, editor, *Automated Deduction – CADE 26*, pages 274–290, Cham, 2017. Springer International Publishing.